

## 2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: Fremont and Winema Resource Advisory Committee

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 01:01:25 AM

### 1. Department or Agency

Department of Agriculture

### 2. Fiscal Year

2018

### 3. Committee or Subcommittee

Fremont and Winema Resource Advisory Committee

### 3b. GSA Committee No.

70505

### 4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

No

### 5. Current Charter

06/21/2016

### 6. Expected Renewal Date

06/21/2018

### 7. Expected Term Date

### 8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

No

### 8b. Specific Termination Authority

Not Applicable

### 8c. Actual Term Date

### 9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Continue

### 10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

Not Applicable

### 10b. Legislation Pending?

Not Applicable

### 11. Establishment Authority Statutory (Congress Created)

### 12. Specific Establishment Authority

The SRS and Community Self-Determination Act as reauthorized in PL 110-343 and PL 112-141.

### 13. Effective Date

10/03/2008

### 14. Committee Type

Continuing

### 14c. Presidential?

No

### 15. Description of Committee Other Committee

### 16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for this Fiscal Year

### 17a. Open Meetings and Dates 0 17b. Closed Meetings and Dates 0 17c. Partially Closed Meetings and Dates 0 17d. Total Meetings and Dates 0

No Meetings

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$21,000.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$0.00	\$3,200.00
18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$0.00	\$800.00
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$800.00

<b>18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.)</b>	\$0.00	\$10,000.00
<b>18d. Total</b>	\$0.00	\$35,800.00
<b>19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)</b>	0.00	0.30

**20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?**

The purpose of a Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act resource advisory committee is to improve collaborative relations and to provide advice and recommendations to the Forest Service consistent with Division C, Title VI of Public Law 110-343. The Act describes the duties of the committees as follows: to review projects proposed by counties and other interested parties; to recommend projects and funding; to provide early and continuous coordination with Forest Service officials; to provide frequent opportunities for citizens, organizations, tribes, land management agencies and other interested parties to participate openly and meaningfully, beginning in the early stages of project development; to monitor projects approved under the Act; to advise the designated federal official on the progress of monitoring; and to make recommendations for any appropriate changes or adjustments to projects being monitored.

**20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?**

The committee is comprised of 15 members, five in each of three categories prescribed in the Act. Within each category, the Act identifies specific interests members are to represent. Committees are formed in geographic areas to ensure each national forest located within a participating county or counties has access to a resource advisory committee. The Act requires members to be residents of the state or states within which the committee has jurisdiction.

**20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?**

The committee generally will meet 1-4 times per year as needed to perform its duties.

**20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?**

This Resource Advisory Committee is mandated by the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act as reauthorized in Public Law 110-343 and Public Law 112-141.

**20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?**

The committee does not anticipate the need for any closed meetings.

**21. Remarks**

The Fremont and Winema Resource Advisory Committee did not meet in FY 2018 and no

new meeting minutes are posted. The Committee's website is not up to date. There are no new reports posted for FY 2018.

### Designated Federal Officer

Heather Berg Klamath RD, District Ranger, Fremont-Winema National Forests

Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
Ganong, William	06/23/2014	06/23/2018	Attorney and Operating Manager, Buck Camp Ranch, LLC	Representative Member
Hendrixson, Heather	09/14/2016	09/14/2020	Wild Horse, Wildlife, Hunting, Watershed	Representative Member
Hickey, Lani	06/22/2017	06/22/2021	Regionally / Locally Recognized Environmental Org	Representative Member
Jackman, Julia	06/23/2014	06/23/2018	Energy Efficiency, Washington D.C.	Representative Member
Kepple, Todd	09/14/2016	09/14/2020	Archaeology and History	Representative Member
Kimbol, Nick	09/14/2016	09/14/2020	American Indian Tribes	Representative Member
Leavell, Daniel	09/14/2016	09/14/2020	Dispersed Recreation Activities	Representative Member
Murphy, Janis	09/14/2016	09/14/2020	Grazing Permittee	Representative Member
Neider, Brandi	06/23/2014	06/23/2018	Teacher	Representative Member
Riley, Betty	06/22/2017	06/22/2021	Executive Director	Representative Member
Sherwin, Reid	06/23/2014	06/23/2018	Retired, Klamath Four Runners Jeep Club	Representative Member
Wilkins, William	09/14/2016	09/14/2020	American Indian Tribes	Representative Member

**Number of Committee Members Listed: 12**

### Narrative Description

By recommending projects that maintain and improve the health of national forest ecosystems and improve cooperative relationships among the people who use and care for the national forests, the Secure Rural Schools resource advisory committees support the Forest Service mission to sustain the health, diversity, and productivity of the Nation's forests and grasslands to meet the needs of present and future generations. The committees specifically support Forest Service strategic goals to 1. Restore, sustain, and enhance the national forests; and 2. Provide and sustain benefits to the American people.

### What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

Improvements to health or safety	<input type="checkbox"/>
Trust in government	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Major policy changes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Advance in scientific research	<input type="checkbox"/>
Effective grant making	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Improved service delivery	<input type="checkbox"/>
Increased customer satisfaction	<input type="checkbox"/>
Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

### Outcome Comments

Not Applicable

**What are the cost savings associated with this committee?**

Checked if Applies

- |                            |                                     |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| None                       | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Unable to Determine        | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Under \$100,000            | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| \$100,000 - \$500,000      | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| \$500,001 - \$1,000,000    | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000  | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Over \$10,000,000          | <input type="checkbox"/>            |
| Cost Savings Other         | <input type="checkbox"/>            |

**Cost Savings Comments**

Not Applicable

**What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?**

413

**Number of Recommendations Comments**

In FY 2018, the committee did not meet and no recommendations on projects were made. History: 2002-2013 215 total recommended (86 for Lake county and 129 for Klamath county) 2008-2013 125 total recommended (49 in Lake County and 76 in Klamath County) 2014 27 total recommended projects (8 in Lake County and 19 in Klamath County) 2015 0 total recommended projects due to late arrival of funds and loss of quorum. In FY 2016, no recommendations on projects were made. The RAC met on September 29, 2016, but did not make recommendations. In FY 2017, the RAC met on November 16-17 and approved 46 projects (17 in Lake County and 29 in Klamath County).

**What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?**

84%

**% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments**

Approximately 350 recommendations are completed.

**What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or**

**will be Partially implemented by the agency?**

16%

**% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments**

Approximately 63 projects are still in the implementation phase. A small number of recommendations/projects will not reach completion. The exact numbers are being confirmed.

**Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?**

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

**Agency Feedback Comments**

The Forest Service (FS) Designated Federal Official/ RAC Coordinator regularly report to the committee on whether recommended projects proposals are or are not approved by the FS. The DFO/RAC Coordinator also reports on actions taken to implement approved projects. This may take the form of verbal reports and presentations at committee meetings, committee field trips and monitoring activities.

**What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?**

	Checked if Applies
Reorganized Priorities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Reallocated resources	<input type="checkbox"/>
Issued new regulation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proposed legislation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Approved grants or other payments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Action Comments**

**Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?**

No

**Grant Review Comments**

**How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?**

	Checked if Applies
Contact DFO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Agency Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online Committee Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Online GSA FACA Web Site	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Publications	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>

### **Access Comments**